On the Criteria of Faugère's F_5 Algorithm

Christian Eder

Technische Universität Kaiserslautern

July 08, 2008

Overview of the Talk

1 Introduction

- 2 Gröbner Bases
- 3 F₅ Basics
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
- **5** Facts about the F_5 Algorithm

6 References

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about
- 2 Gröbner Bases
 - Polynomial Basics
 - Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- **3** *F*₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- 5 Facts about the F₅ Algorithm
- 6 References

Given an ideal I we want to compute a Gröbner basis G of I.

Given an ideal *I* we want to compute a Gröbner basis *G* of *I*.We want to do this **fast** and without much **memory usage**.

Given an ideal I we want to compute a Gröbner basis G of I.

- We want to do this **fast** and without much **memory usage**.
- We do not want to compute zero-reductions of
 S-Polynomials as they do not give us any new information about *G*, but cost time and memory.

Given an ideal I we want to compute a Gröbner basis G of I.

- We want to do this **fast** and without much **memory usage**.
- We do not want to compute zero-reductions of
 S-Polynomials as they do not give us any new information about *G*, but cost time and memory.
- \Rightarrow How do we detect such useless critical pairs/S-Polynomials?



(a) Connect the polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ with module elements in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$.

- (a) Connect the polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ with module elements in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$.
- (b) Add **new data** received from this connection to each polynomial investigated.

- (a) Connect the polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ with module elements in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$.
- (b) Add **new data** received from this connection to each polynomial investigated.
- (c) Use this data to detect useless critical pairs/S-Polynomials and delete them **before** they are reduced.

- (a) Connect the polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ with module elements in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$.
- (b) Add **new data** received from this connection to each polynomial investigated.
- (c) Use this data to detect useless critical pairs/S-Polynomials and delete them **before** they are reduced.
- This is what the Gröbner basis algorithm called F_5 is all about.

What this talk is about

(a) Understand the connection between polynomials and module elements.

- (a) Understand the connection between polynomials and module elements.
- (b) Understand the way new data is added to a polynomial.

- (a) Understand the connection between polynomials and module elements.
- (b) Understand the way new data is added to a polynomial.
- (c) State Faugère's Criteria to detect useless critical pairs using this new data.

- (a) Understand the connection between polynomials and module elements.
- (b) Understand the way new data is added to a polynomial.
- (c) State Faugère's Criteria to detect useless critical pairs using this new data.
- (d) Understand why these criteria work in a small example.

Table of Contents

I Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about
- 2 Gröbner Bases
 - Polynomial Basics
 - Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- 3 F₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- 5 Facts about the F₅ Algorithm
- 6 References

Polynomial Basics

■ K always denotes a field, K[x] is the polynomial ring over K in the variables x = (x₁,...,x_n), ≤ denotes a well-ordering on K[x], T denotes the monoid of power products of x.

Polynomial Basics

■ K always denotes a field, K[x] is the polynomial ring over K in the variables x = (x₁,...,x_n), ≤ denotes a well-ordering on K[x], T denotes the monoid of power products of x.
■ If p = ∑^m_{k=1} a_ip_i, a_k ∈ K, p_k ∈ T for all k ∈ {1,...,m} where a₁p₁ < ... < a_mp_m then we denote
■ the head term of p HT(p) = p_m,
■ the head coefficient of p HC(p) = a_m,
■ the head monom of p HM(p) = a_mp_m.

Polynomial Basics

• \mathbb{K} always denotes a field, $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ is the polynomial ring over \mathbb{K} in the variables $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n), \leq$ denotes a well-ordering on $\mathbb{K}[x]$, \mathcal{T} denotes the monoid of power products of \underline{x} . If $p = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_i p_i$, $a_k \in \mathbb{K}$, $p_k \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ where $a_1 p_1 < \ldots < a_m p_m$ then we denote • the head term of $p \operatorname{HT}(p) = p_m$, • the head coefficient of $p \operatorname{HC}(p) = a_m$, • the head monom of $p \operatorname{HM}(p) = a_m p_m$. • Let $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, $u_k = \frac{\operatorname{LCM}(\operatorname{HT}(p_1), \operatorname{HT}(p_2))}{\operatorname{HT}(p_k)} \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2\},$

then we denote the **S-Polynomial** of p_1, p_2

$$\operatorname{Spol}(p_1,p_2) = \operatorname{HC}(p_2)u_1p_1 - \operatorname{HC}(p_1)u_2p_2.$$

• $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ with $f_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ always denotes a sequence of homogeneous polynomials.

- $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ with $f_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ always denotes a sequence of homogeneous polynomials.
- Let $p \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ be a polynomial and $\mathcal{P} := \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ be a set of polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$. Then we say that

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{p}_i \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$$

is an *t*-representation of *p* w.r.t. \mathcal{P} if $HT(\lambda_i p_i) < t$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$, \leq a degree reverse lexicographical ordering. Let $p_1 = 3x^2 + y$, $p_2 = 2xy + 1$. Then $\mathrm{LCM}(\mathrm{HT}(p_1), \mathrm{HT}(p_2)) = x^2y$, $u_1 = y$ and $u_2 = x$. We get

Spol
$$(p_1, p_2)$$
 = $2yp_1 - 3xp_2$
= $\mathbf{6x^2y^2} + 2y^2 - \mathbf{6x^2y^2} - 3x$
= $2y^2 - 3x$.

Theorem

Let $G \supset \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$. If for all $p_i, p_j \in G$ $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ has a *t*-representation for $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ reduces to zero w.r.t. G then G is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

Theorem

Let $G \supset \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$. If for all $p_i, p_j \in G$ $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ has a *t*-representation for $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ reduces to zero w.r.t. G then G is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

Proof.

See [BeWe].

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about

2 Gröbner Bases

- Polynomial Basics
- Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- **3** *F*₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with Fg
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- **5** Facts about the *F*₅ Algorithm
- 6 References

Module Basics

Let $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ be an *m*-dimensional module with generators $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m$

• We define the **evaluation map** $v_F : \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{e}_i) = f_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Module Basics

Let $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ be an *m*-dimensional module with generators $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m$

- We define the **evaluation map** $v_F : \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{e}_i) = f_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.
- We define a module term ordering \prec_{F} on $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$:

$$t_i \mathbf{e}_i \prec_{\mathrm{F}} t_j \mathbf{e}_j :\Leftrightarrow$$
 (a) $i > j$, or
(b) $i = j$ and $t_i < t_j$.

where $t_i, t_j \in \mathcal{T}$. We denote the highest term of an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ w.r.t. \prec_{F} the module head term MHT(\mathbf{g}).

Module Basics

Let $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ be an *m*-dimensional module with generators $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m$

- We define the **evaluation map** $v_F : \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \to \mathbb{K}$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{e}_i) = f_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$.
- We define a module term ordering \prec_{F} on $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$:

$$t_i \mathbf{e}_i \prec_{\mathrm{F}} t_j \mathbf{e}_j :\Leftrightarrow$$
 (a) $i > j$, or
(b) $i = j$ and $t_i < t_j$

where $t_i, t_j \in \mathcal{T}$. We denote the highest term of an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ w.r.t. \prec_{F} the module head term MHT(\mathbf{g}).

For an element $\mathbf{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i \mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ we define the **index** of \mathbf{g} to be the lowest number k such that $g_k \neq 0$ and denote it by index(\mathbf{g}). Thus we write $\mathbf{g} = \sum_{i=k}^{m} g_i \mathbf{e}_i$ in the following.

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, \leq the degree reverse lexicographical ordering, $\underline{x} = (x, y)$. Let

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, \leq the degree reverse lexicographical ordering, $\underline{x} = (x, y)$. Let

$$g_1 = (x^2 + xy)e_2 + x^7ye_4, g_2 = x^2ye_2 + ye_3, g_3 = e_1 + xe_2.$$

(a) $\operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_1) = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_2) = 2$, $\operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_3) = 1$.

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, \leq the degree reverse lexicographical ordering, $\underline{x} = (x, y)$. Let

$$g_1 = (x^2 + xy)e_2 + x^7ye_4, g_2 = x^2ye_2 + ye_3, g_3 = e_1 + xe_2.$$

(a) index(g₁) = index(g₂) = 2, index(g₃) = 1.
(b) MHT(g₁) = x²e₂ as 2 < 4 and x² > xy. Similar we receive MHT(g₂) = x²ye₂ and MHT(g₃) = e₁.

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, \leq the degree reverse lexicographical ordering, $\underline{x} = (x, y)$. Let

- (a) $\operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_1) = \operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_2) = 2$, $\operatorname{index}(\mathbf{g}_3) = 1$.
- (b) $MHT(\mathbf{g}_1) = x^2 \mathbf{e}_2$ as 2 < 4 and $x^2 > xy$. Similar we receive $MHT(\mathbf{g}_2) = x^2 y \mathbf{e}_2$ and $MHT(\mathbf{g}_3) = \mathbf{e}_1$.
- (c) MHT(g₁) ≺_F MHT(g₂) as both have the same index and x² < x²y. MHT(g₂) ≺_F MHT(g₃) as index(g₃) < index(g₂).

A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.

- A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.
- An admissible, labeled polynomial r is an element of $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \times \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ defined by $r = (\mathcal{S}(r), \text{poly}(r))$ where

- A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.
- An admissible, labeled polynomial r is an element of $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \times \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ defined by $r = (\mathcal{S}(r), \text{poly}(r))$ where
 - $poly(r) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ is the polynomial part,

- A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.
- An admissible, labeled polynomial r is an element of $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \times \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ defined by $r = (\mathcal{S}(r), \text{poly}(r))$ where
 - $poly(r) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ is the polynomial part,
 - S(r) = MHT(g) such that v_F(g) = poly(r) is the signature of r.

- A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.
- An admissible, labeled polynomial r is an element of $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \times \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ defined by $r = (\mathcal{S}(r), \text{poly}(r))$ where
 - $poly(r) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ is the polynomial part,
 - S(r) = MHT(g) such that v_F(g) = poly(r) is the signature of r.
- The index of r is defined to be index(r) = index(S(r)).

- A polynomial p is called **admissible (w.r.t.** F) if there exists an element $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m$ such that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = p$.
- An admissible, labeled polynomial r is an element of $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]^m \times \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ defined by $r = (\mathcal{S}(r), \text{poly}(r))$ where
 - $poly(r) \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ is the polynomial part,
 - S(r) = MHT(g) such that v_F(g) = poly(r) is the signature of r.
- The index of r is defined to be index(r) = index(S(r)).
- For an admissible labeled polynomial *r* with S(*r*) = *t***e**_k we denote the **term of the signature** of *r* to be

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{S}(r)) = t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

• If r_1, r_2 are admissible labeled polynomials such that $u_2 S(r_2) \prec_F u_1 S(r_1)$ then

$$\operatorname{Spol}(r_1, r_2) = \left(u_1 \mathcal{S}(r_1), \operatorname{Spol}(\operatorname{poly}(r_1), \operatorname{poly}(r_2))\right).$$

If r_1, r_2 are admissible labeled polynomials such that $u_2 S(r_2) \prec_F u_1 S(r_1)$ then

$$\operatorname{Spol}(r_1, r_2) = (u_1 \mathcal{S}(r_1), \operatorname{Spol}(\operatorname{poly}(r_1), \operatorname{poly}(r_2))).$$

 If r = (S(r), poly(r)) is an admissible labeled polynomial and *R* := {r₁,..., r_m} is a set of admissible labeled polynomials then we say that

$$\operatorname{poly}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \operatorname{poly}(r_i) \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$$

is an admissible *t*-representation of *r* w.r.t. \mathcal{R} if $\operatorname{HT}(\lambda_i \operatorname{poly}(r_i)) < t$ and $\operatorname{HT}(\lambda_i) \mathcal{S}(r_i) \preceq_F \mathcal{S}(r)$ for all *i* and $t = \operatorname{HT}(\operatorname{poly}(r))$.

Example

Assume the sequence F = (f₁,..., f_m).
(a) Let p = f₁. Then r = (e₁, f₁) is an admissible labeled polynomial as v_F(e₁) = f₁.

Example

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$.

(a) Let $p = f_1$. Then $r = (\mathbf{e}_1, f_1)$ is an admissible labeled polynomial as $v_F(\mathbf{e}_1) = f_1$.

(b) Again let $p = f_1$. Then $r' = (HT(f_2)\mathbf{e}_1, f_1)$ is also an admissible labeled polynomial. For this consider the module element $\mathbf{g} = (f_2 + 1)\mathbf{e}_1 - f_1\mathbf{e}_2$. It holds that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = f_2f_1 + f_1 - f_1f_2 = f_1$ and $MHT(\mathbf{g}) = HT(f_2)\mathbf{e}_1$.

Example

Assume the sequence $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$.

(a) Let $p = f_1$. Then $r = (\mathbf{e}_1, f_1)$ is an admissible labeled polynomial as $v_F(\mathbf{e}_1) = f_1$.

(b) Again let $p = f_1$. Then $r' = (HT(f_2)\mathbf{e}_1, f_1)$ is also an admissible labeled polynomial. For this consider the module element $\mathbf{g} = (f_2 + 1)\mathbf{e}_1 - f_1\mathbf{e}_2$. It holds that $v_F(\mathbf{g}) = f_2f_1 + f_1 - f_1f_2 = f_1$ and $MHT(\mathbf{g}) = HT(f_2)\mathbf{e}_1$.

Remark

For a polynomial p there can exist infinitely many different admissible labeled polynomials r such that poly(r) = p. In the case of F being a **regular sequence** the admissible labeled polynomial r corresponding to a polynomial p computed by F_5 is uniquely defined.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about
- 2 Gröbner Bases
 - Polynomial Basics
 - Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- **3** *F*₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- **5** Facts about the *F*₅ Algorithm
- 6 References

New Characterization of Gröbner Bases

Notations

In the following we use a shorter notation for r = (S(r), poly(r))denoting poly(r) by p. $G = \{r_1, \ldots, r_m\}$ denotes a set of admissible labeled polynomials such that $poly(G) := \{p_i \mid r_i \in G\} \supset \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}.$

New Characterization of Gröbner Bases

Notations

In the following we use a shorter notation for r = (S(r), poly(r))denoting poly(r) by p. $G = \{r_1, \ldots, r_m\}$ denotes a set of admissible labeled polynomials such that $poly(G) := \{p_i \mid r_i \in G\} \supset \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}.$

Theorem (Admissible Representation Characterization)

If for all $r_i, r_j \in G$ $Spol(r_i, r_j)$ has an admissible t-representation for $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ reduces to zero w.r.t. G then poly(G) is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

New Characterization of Gröbner Bases

Notations

In the following we use a shorter notation for r = (S(r), poly(r))denoting poly(r) by p. $G = \{r_1, \ldots, r_m\}$ denotes a set of admissible labeled polynomials such that $poly(G) := \{p_i \mid r_i \in G\} \supset \{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}.$

Theorem (Admissible Representation Characterization)

If for all $r_i, r_j \in G$ $Spol(r_i, r_j)$ has an admissible t-representation for $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or $Spol(p_i, p_j)$ reduces to zero w.r.t. G then poly(G) is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

Proof

If r is an admissible labeled polynomial with admissible t-representation then p has a t-representation for t = HT(p).

Faugère's Criteria

F₅ Criterion

Spol (r_i, r_j) is **not normalized** iff for $u_k r_k$ (k = i or k = j) there exist $r_{\text{prev}} \in G$ such that

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{index}(r_{\mathrm{prev}}) &> & \mathrm{index}(r_k) \\ \mathrm{HT}(\rho_{\mathrm{prev}}) &\mid & u_k \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(r_k)). \end{array}$

This Criterion is stated explicitly in Faugère's description of the F_5 Algorithm, but it is **not** the only one.

Faugère's Criteria

F₅ Criterion

 $\text{Spol}(r_i, r_j)$ is **not normalized** iff for $u_k r_k$ (k = i or k = j) there exist $r_{\text{prev}} \in G$ such that

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{index}(r_{\mathrm{prev}}) &> & \mathrm{index}(r_k) \\ \mathrm{HT}(\rho_{\mathrm{prev}}) &\mid & u_k \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(r_k)). \end{array}$

This Criterion is stated explicitly in Faugère's description of the F_5 Algorithm, but it is **not** the only one.

Remark

This criterion would delete the element $r' = (HT(f_2)\mathbf{e}_1, f_1)$ as the element $r_2 = (\mathbf{e}_2, f_2)$ has $index(r_2) = 2 > 1$ and clearly $HT(poly(r_2)) \mid HT(f_2)$.

Rewritten Criterion

Spol (r_i, r_j) is **rewritable** iff for $u_k r_k$ (k = i or k = j) there exist $r_v, r_w \in G$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{index}(r_k) = \operatorname{index}(\operatorname{Spol}(r_v, r_w)) \\ & \Gamma\Big(\mathcal{S}(\operatorname{Spol}(r_v, r_w))\Big) \mid u_k \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(r_k)). \end{aligned}$$

This Criterion is not stated explicitly, but it is part of the pseudocode.

Using the Criteria to compute Gröbner Bases

Theorem (New Characterization using Faugère's Criteria)

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset G \times G$ such that for every element $(r_i, r_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ Spol (r_i, r_j) is

(a) normalized, and

(b) not rewritable.

If each such $Spol(r_i, r_j)$ has a t-representation with $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or reduces to zero, then poly(G) is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

Theorem (New Characterization using Faugère's Criteria)

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset G \times G$ such that for every element $(r_i, r_j) \in \mathcal{L}$ Spol (r_i, r_j) is

(a) normalized, and

(b) not rewritable.

If each such $Spol(r_i, r_j)$ has a t-representation with $t = LCM(HT(p_i), HT(p_j))$ or reduces to zero, then poly(G) is a Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_m \rangle$.

Remark

The idea is to only investigate on S-Polynomials with generators being elements of \mathcal{L} . We need to show that all other S-Polynomials have a *t*-representation or reduce to zero.

Idea of the Proof

If $\operatorname{Spol}(r_i, r_j)$ is not normalized and/or rewritable then we can assume w.l.o.g. that $u_i r_i$ with $\operatorname{index}(r_i) = k$ is not normalized and/or rewritable. It follows that there exists a (not necessarily principal) syzygy with the element $u_i \Gamma(\mathcal{S}(r)) \mathbf{e}_k$. From this syzygy we can compute a *rewriter* r_{rew} such that we get the following relationship:

$$\operatorname{Spol}(r_i, r_j) = \lambda_1 \operatorname{Spol}(r_i, r_{\operatorname{rew}}) + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Spol}(r_{\operatorname{rew}}, r_j).$$

Both, $\text{Spol}(r_i, r_{\text{rew}})$ and $\text{Spol}(r_{\text{rew}}, r_j)$ were already or will be investigated in F_5 . This leads to an admissible *t*-representation of $\text{Spol}(r_i, r_j)$ where $t = \text{LCM}(\text{HT}(p_i), \text{HT}(p_j))$.

In [Fa] Faugère computes the Gröbner basis of $I = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ where

$$f_1 = yz^3 - x^2t^2$$

$$f_2 = xz^2 - y^2t$$

$$f_3 = x^2y - z^2t$$

in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, t]$ with degree reverse lexicographical ordering x > y > z > t. During these computations $\operatorname{Spol}(r_1, r_3) = (x^2 S(r_1), x^2 f_1 - z^3 f_3)$ is detected to be rewritable by the element $r_6 = (x \mathbf{e}_1, y^3 z t - x^3 t^2)$ as both have the same index and $x \Gamma(S(r_6)) = x^2 \Gamma(S(r_1))$. r_6 was computed from $\operatorname{Spol}(r_1, r_2)$ such that we have a syzygy $\mathbf{s}_6 = x \mathbf{e}_1 - yz \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_6$, for r_1 we have the trivial syzygy $\mathbf{s}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_1$.

If we compute

$$x^{2}\mathbf{s}_{1} + x\mathbf{s}_{6} = x^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} - x^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} + x^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} - xyz\mathbf{e}_{2} - x\mathbf{e}_{6}$$
$$= x^{2}\mathbf{e}_{1} - xyz\mathbf{e}_{2} - x\mathbf{e}_{6}.$$

From this we receive that $xyzHT(p_2) = x^2HT(p_1)$ and $xyzHT(p_2) = z^3HT(p_3)$. Thus we can rewrite $Spol(r_1, r_3) = xSpol(r_1, r_2) + zSpol(r_2, r_3)$. Thus $Spol(r_1, r_3)$ can be deleted from further investigations as it does not give us new information about the Gröbner basis of *I*.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about
- 2 Gröbner Bases
 - Polynomial Basics
 - Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- **3** *F*₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- **5** Facts about the F_5 Algorithm
- 6 References

• ... is one of the fastest known Gröbner bases algorithms.

- ... is one of the fastest known Gröbner bases algorithms.
- ... uses two criteria, the *F*₅ Criterion and the Rewritten Criterion.

- ... is one of the fastest known Gröbner bases algorithms.
- uses two criteria, the F₅ Criterion and the Rewritten Criterion.
- ... does not compute any zero-reduction in the case of F being a regular sequence.

- ... is one of the fastest known Gröbner bases algorithms.
- uses two criteria, the F₅ Criterion and the Rewritten Criterion.
- ... does not compute any zero-reduction in the case of F being a regular sequence.
- ... cannot be combined with other known criteria, e.g. the Buchberger Criteria.

- ... is one of the fastest known Gröbner bases algorithms.
- uses two criteria, the F₅ Criterion and the Rewritten Criterion.
- ... does not compute any zero-reduction in the case of F being a regular sequence.
- ... cannot be combined with other known criteria, e.g. the Buchberger Criteria.
- ... should not be implemented as stated in [Fa]. The code needs lots of optimizations to be fast.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- The main problem
- Faugère's Idea
- What this talk is about
- 2 Gröbner Bases
 - Polynomial Basics
 - Characterization of Gröbner Bases
- **3** *F*₅ Basics
 - Module Basics
 - Labeling of a Polynomial
- 4 Computing Gröbner Bases with F₅
 - New Characterization of Gröbner Bases
 - Faugère's Criteria
 - Example of the Rewritten Criterion
- **5** Facts about the *F*₅ Algorithm

6 References

References

T. Becker, V. Weispfenning and H. Kredel. Gröbner Bases Springer Verlag, 1993

J.-C. Faugère.

A new efficient algorithm for computing Gröbner bases without reduction to zero F_5 Proceedings of the 2002 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation ISSAC, pages 75 - 83, 2002

 G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann.
 SINGULAR 3-0-4. A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, TU Kaiserslautern, 2008, http://www.singular.uni-kl.de.